

**OFFICIAL
SAMPLE BALLOT**

GENERAL ELECTION

NOVEMBER 6, 2012

**PINELLAS COUNTY
FLORIDA**

**THESE RACES WILL APPEAR
IN ALL PRECINCTS**

**PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT**
(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| ☐ Mitt Romney
Paul Ryan | REP |
| ☐ Barack Obama
Joe Biden | DEM |
| ☐ Thomas Robert Stevens
Alden Link | OBJ |
| ☐ Gary Johnson
James P. Gray | LBT |
| ☐ Virgil H. Goode, Jr.
James N. Clymer | CPF |
| ☐ Jill Stein
Cheri Honkala | GRE |
| ☐ Andre Barnett
Kenneth Cross | REF |
| ☐ Stewart Alexander
Alex Mendoza | SOC |
| ☐ Peta Lindsay
Yari Osorio | PSL |
| ☐ Roseanne Barr
Cindy Sheehan | PFP |

- | | |
|---|-----|
| ☐ Tom Hoefling
Jonathan D. Ellis | AIP |
| ☐ Ross C. "Rocky" Anderson
Luis J. Rodriguez | JPF |
| ☐ Write in _____ | |

UNITED STATES SENATOR
(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| ☐ Connie Mack | REP |
| ☐ Bill Nelson | DEM |
| ☐ Bill Gaylor | NPA |
| ☐ Chris Borgia | NPA |
| ☐ Write-in _____ | |

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 12**

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
DISTRICT 12**
(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| ☐ Gus Michael Bilirakis | REP |
| ☐ Jonathan Michael Snow | DEM |
| ☐ John Russell | NPA |
| ☐ Paul Sidney Elliott | NPA |

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 13**

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
DISTRICT 13
(Vote for One)**

☐ C. W. Bill Young REP

☐ Jessica Ehrlich DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 14**

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
DISTRICT 14
(Vote for One)**

☐ Evelio "EJ" Otero REP

☐ Kathy Castor DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 20**

**STATE SENATOR
DISTRICT 20
(Vote for One)**

☐ Jack Latvala REP

☐ Ashley M. Rhodes-Courter DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 22**

**STATE SENATOR
DISTRICT 22
(Vote for One)**

☐ Jeff Brandes REP

☐ Write-in _____

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE HOUSE DISTRICT 65**

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 65
(Vote for One)**

☐ Peter Nehr REP

☐ Carl "Z" Zimmermann DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE HOUSE DISTRICT 66**

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 66
(Vote for One)**

☐ Larry Ahern REP

☐ Mary Louise Ambrose DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE HOUSE DISTRICT 67**

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 67
(Vote for One)**

☐ Ed Hooper REP

☐ Ben Farrell DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE HOUSE DISTRICT 68**

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 68
(Vote for One)**

☐ Frank Farkas REP

☐ Dwight Dudley DEM

☐ Matthew D. Weidner NPA

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
STATE HOUSE DISTRICT 69**

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 69
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Kathleen Peters REP
- ☐ Josh Shulman DEM

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN COUNTY
COMMISSION DISTRICT 7**

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
DISTRICT 7 – SINGLE MEMBER
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Buck Walz REP
- ☐ Ken Welch DEM

**THESE RACES WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS**

**SHERIFF
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Bob Gualtieri REP
- ☐ Scott Swope DEM
- ☐ Write-in _____

**SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Deborah Clark REP
- ☐ Jack Killingsworth NPA

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
DISTRICT 1 – AT LARGE
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Neil Brickfield REP
- ☐ Janet C. Long DEM

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
DISTRICT 3 – AT LARGE
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Nancy Bostock REP
- ☐ Charlie Justice DEM

**JUDICIAL RETENTION WILL APPEAR
IN ALL PRECINCTS**

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall Justice R. Fred Lewis of the
Supreme Court be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall Justice Barbara J. Pariente of the
Supreme Court be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall Justice Peggy A. Quince of the
Supreme Court be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Anthony K. Black of the Second District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Darryl C. Casanueva of the Second District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Charles A. Davis Jr. of the Second District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Edward C. LaRose of the Second District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS****SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER
DISTRICT 1 – AT LARGE
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Janet R. Clark
☐ Elliott Stern

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
SCHOOL DISTRICT 7****SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER
DISTRICT 7 – SINGLE MEMBER
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Rene Flowers
☐ Glenton "Glen" Gilzean

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN THE
CITY OF LARGO****CITY OF LARGO
CITY COMMISSION
SEAT 4
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ James Robinson
☐ Robert Hunsicker

**THESE RACES WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN THE
CITY OF DUNEDIN****CITY OF DUNEDIN
MAYOR
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Dave Eggers
☐ Bob Hackworth

**COMMISSIONER – SEAT 3
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ David C. Carson
☐ Heather Gracy

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN THE
CITY OF SAFETY HARBOR**

**CITY OF SAFETY HARBOR
COMMISSION – SEAT 1
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Richard Blake
- ☐ Ray R. Irvin
- ☐ Robert "Bobby" Saltzman

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN THE
PALM HARBOR SPECIAL FIRE
CONTROL & RESCUE DISTRICT**

**PALM HARBOR SPECIAL FIRE
CONTROL & RESCUE DISTRICT
SEAT 3
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Debbie Buschman
- ☐ Bob Shatanoff

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN THE
EAST LAKE TARPON SPECIAL
FIRE CONTROL DISTRICT**

**EAST LAKE TARPON SPECIAL
FIRE CONTROL DISTRICT
SEAT 3
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Sharon Hurst
- ☐ David J. Root

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN
EASTLAKE OAKS COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**EASTLAKE OAKS COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
SEAT 5
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Joseph Dinelli
- ☐ Chad D. Robinson

**THIS RACE WILL APPEAR IN ALL
PRECINCTS WITHIN THE LEALMAN
SPECIAL FIRE CONTROL DISTRICT**

**LEALMAN SPECIAL FIRE
CONTROL DISTRICT
SEAT 1
(Vote for One)**

- ☐ Eric A. Blum
- ☐ John G. Frank

**THESE AMENDMENTS WILL APPEAR
IN ALL PRECINCTS**

**NO. 1
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE I, SECTION 28**

Health Care Services

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to prohibit laws or rules from compelling any person or employer to purchase, obtain, or otherwise provide for health care coverage; permit a person or an employer to purchase lawful health care services directly from a health care provider; permit a health care provider to accept direct payment from a person or an employer for lawful health care services; exempt persons, employers, and health care providers from penalties and taxes for paying directly or accepting direct payment for lawful health care services; and prohibit laws or rules from abolishing the private market for health care coverage of any lawful health care service. Specifies that the amendment does not affect which health care services a health care provider is required to perform or provide; affect which health care services are permitted by law; prohibit care provided pursuant to general law relating to workers' compensation; affect laws or rules in effect as of March 1, 2010; affect the terms or conditions of any health care system to the extent that those terms and conditions do not have the effect of punishing a person or an employer for paying directly for lawful health care services or a health care provider for accepting direct payment from a person or an employer for lawful health care services; or affect any general law passed by two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature, passed after the effective date of the amendment, provided such law states with specificity the public necessity justifying the exceptions from the provisions of the amendment. The amendment expressly provides that it may not be construed to prohibit negotiated provisions in insurance contracts, network agreements, or other provider agreements contractually limiting copayments, coinsurance, deductibles, or other patient charges.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 2
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6
ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32**

**Veterans Disabled Due to Combat
Injury; Homestead Property Tax
Discount**

Proposing an amendment to Section 6 of Article VII and the creation of Section 32 of Article XII of the State Constitution to expand the availability of the property discount on the homesteads of veterans who became disabled as the result of a combat injury to include those who were not Florida residents when they entered the military and schedule the amendment to take effect January 1, 2013.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 3
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 1 AND 19
ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32**

**State Government Revenue
Limitation**

This proposed amendment to the State Constitution replaces the existing state revenue limitation based on Florida personal income growth with a new state revenue limitation based on inflation and population changes. Under the amendment, state revenues, as defined in the amendment, collected in excess of the revenue limitation must be deposited into the budget stabilization fund until the fund reaches its maximum balance, and thereafter shall be used for the support and maintenance of public schools by reducing the minimum financial effort required from school districts for participation in a state-funded education finance program, or, if the minimum financial effort is no longer required, returned to the taxpayers. The Legislature may increase the state revenue limitation through a bill approved by a super majority vote of each house of the Legislature. The Legislature may also submit a proposed increase in the state revenue limitation to the voters. The Legislature must implement this proposed amendment by general law. The amendment will take effect upon approval by the electors and will first apply to the 2014-2015 state fiscal year.

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 4
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 4, 6
ARTICLE XII, SECTIONS 27, 32, 33

Property Tax Limitations; Property Value Decline; Reduction for Nonhomestead Assessment Increases; Delay of Scheduled Repeal

(1) This would amend Florida Constitution Article VII, Section 4 (Taxation; assessments) and Section 6 (Homestead exemptions). It also would amend Article XII, Section 27, and add Sections 32 and 33, relating to the Schedule for the amendments.

(2) In certain circumstances, the law requires the assessed value of homestead and specified nonhomestead property to increase when the just value of the property decreases. Therefore, this amendment provides that the Legislature may, by general law, provide that the assessment of homestead and specified nonhomestead property may not increase if the just value of that property is less than the just value of the property on the preceding January 1, subject to any adjustment in the assessed value due to changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to such property which are assessed as provided for by general law.

This amendment takes effect upon approval by the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, shall take effect January 1, 2013.

(3) This amendment reduces from 10 percent to 5 percent the limitation on annual changes in assessments of nonhomestead real property. This amendment takes effect upon approval of the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, takes effect January 1, 2013.

(4) This amendment also authorizes general law to provide, subject to conditions specified in such law, an additional homestead exemption to every person who establishes the right to receive the homestead exemption provided in the Florida Constitution within 1 year after purchasing the homestead property and who has not owned property in the previous 3 calendar years to which the Florida homestead exemption applied. The additional homestead exemption shall apply to all levies except school district levies. The additional exemption is an amount equal to 50 percent of the homestead property's just value on January 1 of the year the homestead is established. The additional homestead exemption may not exceed an amount equal to the median just value of all homestead property within the county where the property at issue is located for the calendar year immediately preceding January 1 of the year the homestead is

established. The additional exemption shall apply for the shorter of 5 years or the year of sale of the property. The amount of the additional exemption shall be reduced in each subsequent year by an amount equal to 20 percent of the amount of the additional exemption received in the year the homestead was established or by an amount equal to the difference between the just value of the property and the assessed value of the property determined under Article VII, Section 4(d), whichever is greater. Not more than one such exemption shall be allowed per homestead property at one time. The additional exemption applies to property purchased on or after January 1, 2011, if approved by the voters at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, or to property purchased on or after January 1, 2012, if approved by the voters at the 2012 general election. The additional exemption is not available in the sixth and subsequent years after it is first received. The amendment shall take effect upon approval by the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, takes effect January 1, 2013.

(5) This amendment also delays until 2023, the repeal, currently scheduled to take effect in 2019, of constitutional amendments adopted in 2008 which limit annual assessment increases for specified nonhomestead real property. This amendment delays until 2022 the submission of an amendment proposing the abrogation of such repeal to the voters.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 5
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE V,
SECTIONS 2, 11, AND 12**

State Courts

Proposing a revision of Article V of the State Constitution relating to the judiciary.

The State Constitution authorizes the Supreme Court to adopt rules for the practice and procedure in all courts. The constitution further provides that a rule of court may be repealed by a general law enacted by a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature. This proposed constitutional revision eliminates the requirement that a general law repealing a court rule pass by a two-thirds vote of each house, thereby providing that the Legislature may repeal a rule of court by a general law approved by a majority vote of each house of the Legislature that expresses the policy behind the repeal. The court could readopt the rule in conformity with the public policy expressed by the Legislature, but if the Legislature determines that a rule has been readopted and repeals the readopted rule, this proposed revision prohibits the court from further readopting the repealed rule without the Legislature's prior approval.

Under current law, rules of the judicial nominating commissions and the Judicial Qualifications Commission may be repealed by general law enacted by a majority vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature. Under this proposed revision, a vote to repeal those rules is changed to repeal by general law enacted by a majority vote of the legislators present. Under current law, the Governor appoints a justice of the Supreme Court from a list of nominees provided by a judicial nominating commission, and appointments by the Governor are not subject to confirmation. This revision requires Senate confirmation of a justice of the Supreme Court before the appointee can take office. If the Senate votes not to confirm the appointment, the judicial nominating commission must reconvene and may not renominate any person whose prior appointment to fill the same vacancy was not confirmed by the Senate. For the purpose of confirmation, the Senate may meet at any time. If the Senate fails to vote on the appointment of a justice within 90 days, the justice will be deemed confirmed and will take office. The Judicial Qualifications Commission is an independent commission created by the State Constitution to investigate and prosecute before the Florida Supreme Court alleged misconduct by a justice or judge. Currently under the constitution, commission proceedings are confidential until formal charges are filed by the investigative panel of the commission. Once formal charges are filed, the formal charges and all further proceedings of the commission are public. Currently, the constitution authorizes the House of Representatives to impeach a justice or judge.

Further, the Speaker of the House of Representatives may request, and the Judicial Qualifications Commission must make available, all information in the commission's possession for use in deciding whether to impeach a justice or judge. This proposed revision requires the commission to make all of its files available to the Speaker of the House of Representatives but provides that such files would remain confidential during any investigation by the House of Representatives and until such information is used in the pursuit of an impeachment of a justice or judge.

This revision also removes the power of the Governor to request files of the Judicial Qualifications Commission to conform to a prior constitutional change. This revision also makes technical and clarifying additions and deletions relating to the selection of chief judges of a circuit and relating to the Judicial Qualifications Commission, and makes other nonsubstantive conforming and technical changes in the judicial article of the constitution.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 6
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE I, SECTION 28**

**Prohibition on Public Funding of
Abortions; Construction of
Abortion Rights**

This proposed amendment provides that public funds may not be expended for any abortion or for health-benefits coverage that includes coverage of abortion. This prohibition does not apply to an expenditure required by federal law, a case in which a woman suffers from a physical disorder, physical injury, or physical illness that would place her in danger of death unless an abortion is performed, or a case of rape or incest. This proposed amendment provides that the State Constitution may not be interpreted to create broader rights to an abortion than those contained in the United States Constitution. With respect to abortion, this proposed amendment overrules court decisions which conclude that the right of privacy under Article I, Section 23 of the State Constitution is broader in scope than that of the United States Constitution.

☐ YES

☐ NO

*** NOTICE TO VOTERS
PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT #7
HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE BALLOT BY A
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE**

**NO. 8
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE I, SECTION 3**

Religious Freedom

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution providing that no individual or entity may be denied, on the basis of religious identity or belief, governmental benefits, funding or other support, except as required by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, and deleting the prohibition against using revenues from the public treasury directly or indirectly in aid of any church, sect, or religious denomination or in aid of any sectarian institution.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 9
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6
ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32**

**Homestead Property Tax Exemption for
Surviving Spouse of Military Veteran or
First Responder**

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to authorize the Legislature to provide by general law ad valorem homestead property tax relief to the surviving spouse of a military veteran who died from service-connected causes while on active duty or to the surviving spouse of a first responder who died in the line of duty. The amendment authorizes the Legislature to totally exempt or partially exempt such surviving spouse's homestead property from ad valorem taxation. The amendment defines a first responder as a law enforcement officer, a correctional officer, a firefighter, an emergency medical technician, or a paramedic. This amendment shall take effect January 1, 2013.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 10
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTION 3
ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32**

**Tangible Personal Property Tax
Exemption**

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to:

(1) Provide an exemption from ad valorem taxes levied by counties, municipalities, school districts, and other local governments on tangible personal property if the assessed value of an owner's tangible personal property is greater than \$25,000 but less than \$50,000. This new exemption, if approved by the voters, will take effect on January 1, 2013, and apply to the 2013 tax roll and subsequent tax rolls.

(2) Authorize a county or municipality for the purpose of its respective levy, and as provided by general law, to provide tangible personal property tax exemptions by ordinance. This is in addition to other statewide tangible personal property tax exemptions provided by the Constitution and this amendment.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 11
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6**

**Additional Homestead Exemption;
Low-Income Seniors Who Maintain
Long-Term Residency on Property;
Equal to Assessed Value**

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to authorize the Legislature, by general law and subject to conditions set forth in the general law, to allow counties and municipalities to grant an additional homestead tax exemption equal to the assessed value of homestead property if the property has a just value less than \$250,000 to an owner who has maintained permanent residency on the property for not less than 25 years, who has attained age 65, and who has a low household income as defined by general law.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**NO. 12
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE IX, SECTION 7**

**Appointment of Student Body
President to Board of Governors
of the State University System**

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to replace the president of the Florida Student Association with the chair of the council of state university student body presidents as the student member of the Board of Governors of the State University System and to require that the Board of Governors organize such council of state university student body presidents.

☐ YES

☐ NO

**THIS REFERENDUM QUESTION WILL
APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS**

REFERENDUM QUESTION

**Approval of the Continuation of
One-Half Mill Ad Valorem Tax for
School District Operating
Expenses**

Shall the Pinellas County School District ad valorem millage of one-half mill per year be continued beginning July 1, 2013 and ending four fiscal years later on June 30, 2017, for necessary operating expenses including funds to recruit and retain quality teachers; preserve reading programs and music and art classes; and provide up-to-date textbooks and technology, with oversight of these expenditures by an independent citizens financial oversight committee?

☐ YES

☐ NO

**THIS REFERENDUM QUESTION WILL
APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS WITHIN
THE CITY OF LARGO**

**CITY OF LARGO
REFERENDUM QUESTION**

**Providing City Commission with the
Authority to Grant Economic
Development Ad Valorem Tax
Exemptions**

Shall the City Commission of the City of Largo be authorized to grant, pursuant to s.3, Art. VII of the State Constitution, property tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses that are expected to create new, full-time jobs in the City of Largo?

☐ YES For authority to grant exemptions

☐ NO Against authority to grant exemptions

**THIS REFERENDUM QUESTION WILL
APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS WITHIN
THE CITY OF BELLEAIR BLUFFS**

**CITY OF BELLEAIR BLUFFS
CHARTER AMENDMENT
ARTICLE III SECTION C3.02**

**Amending Terms of Mayor and
Commissioners from Two to Three
Year Staggered Terms**

Shall Section C3.02 of the City Charter be amended, pursuant to Ordinance 2012-01, to change the term of the Mayor and Commissioners from two year staggered terms to three year staggered terms?

☐ YES

☐ NO

**THESE REFERENDUM QUESTIONS
WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS
WITHIN THE CITY OF CLEARWATER**

**CITY OF CLEARWATER
NO. 1 REFERENDUM QUESTION**

**City of Clearwater Amended and
Restated General Employees Pension
Plan**

Shall the Employee Pension Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 8333-12, meeting requirements of IRS-qualified plan; extending normal retirement date, decreasing multiplier, and changing beneficiary options for nonhazardous duty new hires; addressing reemployment; increasing employee contributions and changing overtime inclusion calculation for certain hazardous duty employees; delaying cost-of-living pension increase and changing beneficiary options for employees not eligible to retire; making changes to disability retirement provisions; making other changes; providing for applicability; be approved?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 2 REFERENDUM QUESTION

**Providing City Council with the
Authority to Grant Economic
Development Ad Valorem Tax
Exemptions**

Shall the City Council of the City of Clearwater be authorized to grant, pursuant to s.3, Art. VII of the State Constitution, ad valorem tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses that are expected to create new, full-time jobs in the City of Clearwater?

☐ YES For authority to grant exemptions

☐ NO Against authority to grant exemptions

**THIS REFERENDUM QUESTION WILL
APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS WITHIN
THE CITY OF ST. PETE BEACH**

**CITY OF ST. PETE BEACH
REFERENDUM QUESTION**

**Repeal of Charter Requirement for
Establishment of Police
Department as Charter Department**

Charter Section 4.06 requires the St. Pete Beach Police Department to be established as a Charter Department and prohibits transfer of law enforcement activity by contract or any other process to any department or employee not administered by the City Manager. Repeal of this Section will allow the City to transfer law enforcement activity to an outside agency by contract or other process. Shall Charter Section 4.06 be repealed?

☐ YES

☐ NO

**THESE CHARTER AMENDMENTS WILL
APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS WITHIN
THE TOWN OF REDINGTON SHORES**

**TOWN OF REDINGTON SHORES
NO. 1 CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**An Amendment to C-3 of the
Charter of the Town of Redington
Shores**

Shall C-3 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete obsolete language and provide that the members of the Board of Commissioners shall be residents and qualified registered voters as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 2 CHARTER AMENDMENT

**An Amendment to C-4 A. of the
Charter of the Town of Redington
Shores**

Shall C-4 A. of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to provide that the Town shall be able to own property beyond the limits of the Town to be used for any lawful purposes deemed appropriate by the Board of Commissioners?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 3 CHARTER AMENDMENT

**An Amendment to C-4 of the
Charter of the Town of Redington
Shores**

Shall C-4 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete obsolete language pertaining to freeholders and to provide that electors are residents and qualified registered voters of the Town, as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 4 CHARTER AMENDMENT

**An Amendment to C-4 of the
Charter of the Town of Redington
Shores**

Shall C-4 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to provide that officials and employees of the Town shall give strict adherence to all ethical standards mandated by the Florida Statutes, as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 5 CHARTER AMENDMENT

**An Amendment to C-4 of the
Charter of the Town of Redington
Shores**

Shall C-4 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to provide that officials and employees of the Town shall not have any personal financial or ethical conflicts as prohibited by Florida Statutes?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 6 CHARTER AMENDMENT

**An Amendment to C-5 A. and B. of
the Charter of the Town of
Redington Shores**

Shall C-5 A. and B. of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete obsolete language?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 7 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-5 F. of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-5 F. of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to provide that special meetings of the Board of Commissioners can be called by the Vice Mayor in the absence of the Mayor-Commissioner?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 8 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-9 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-9 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 pertaining to the qualification of a resident to run for the Board of Commissioners, as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 9 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-10 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-10 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete obsolete language superseded by State Statute as provided for therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 10 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-11 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-11 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete obsolete language and to provide for the swearing in of newly-elected members of the Board of Commissioners in a manner required by State law, as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 11 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-13 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-13 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to provide the method of appointment of the position of Vice Mayor, as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 12 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-16 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-16 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to require that bidding and purchases be done by Ordinance requiring fairness, and providing for purchases being made pursuant to competitive bids whenever practical, as provided therein?

☐ YES

☐ NO

NO. 13 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-16 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-16 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to provide a new subparagraph F that requires the appointment of a Charter Review Committee at least every ten years and providing for the appointment of members, as provided therein?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

NO. 14 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-21 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-21 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete obsolete language and to provide for financing and taxation as required by Florida Statutes, as provided therein?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

NO. 15 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-22 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-22 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete language deemed obsolete as provided therein?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

NO. 16 CHARTER AMENDMENT

An Amendment to C-23 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores

Shall C-23 of the Charter of the Town of Redington Shores, Florida be amended as recommended by the Charter Review Committee made up of five citizens of the Town, and enacted by the Town Commission pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-04 to delete such section in its entirety as provided therein?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

THESE CHARTER AMENDMENTS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS WITHIN THE CITY OF DUNEDIN

CITY OF DUNEDIN NO. 1 CHARTER AMENDMENT

Providing for the Selection of Vice-Mayor on Rotating Basis

Shall the City Charter, pursuant to Ordinance 12-16, be amended to provide that the Vice-Mayor shall be selected annually on a rotating basis at the first regular meeting of the City Commission following the date of the regular election, unless there are extenuating circumstances?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

NO. 2 CHARTER AMENDMENT

Providing for a Definition of the Term "Convicted" of an Elected Official

Shall the City Charter, pursuant to Ordinance 12-17, be amended to provide that the term "convicted" shall be defined as a determination of guilt that is the result of a plea or trial, regardless of whether adjudication is withheld?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

**NO. 3
CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**Providing for Appointment or
Removal of the City Clerk by
Four-Fifths Vote**

Shall the City Charter, pursuant to Ordinance 12-18, be amended to provide that the City Clerk shall be appointed or removed by a four-fifths vote of the City Commission and that the City Clerk's compensation shall be determined by the City Commission?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

**NO. 4
CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**Providing for Term Limits of Mayor
and Commissioners**

Shall the City Charter, pursuant to Ordinance 12-19, be amended to provide that the Mayor is limited to serving two full four year terms as Mayor and that Commissioners are limited to serving two full four year terms as Commissioners?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

**NO. 5
CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**Reducing Time Frame to Act upon
Initiative or Referendum Petition**

Shall the City Charter, pursuant to Ordinance 12-20, be amended to reduce the time frame in which the City Commission must repeal an ordinance or adopt an initiative ordinance or referendum petition from 150 days to 60 days?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

**NO. 6
CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**Providing for Possibility of
Cancellation of City Commission
Meeting**

Shall the City Charter, pursuant to Ordinance 12-21, be amended to provide that the City Commission shall meet twice each month unless it determines that one of those meetings should be canceled?

- ☐ YES
☐ NO

**All Polling Places are Accessible to
People with Disabilities. Special
Voting Assistance is Available.**

**Hearing Impaired
For Assistance Call
1-800-955-8771
(Deaf Services Relay)**

**Pinellas County Complies with the
Americans with Disabilities Act. To
Obtain Accessible Formats of this
Document, Contact the Office of
Human Rights at (727) 464-4062
(Voice/Text).**

THIS SAMPLE BALLOT IS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH FLORIDA STATUTE 101.20 AND IS FURNISHED BY
THE OFFICE OF

**DEBORAH CLARK
SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS
PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA**